

Substance Abuse Treatment and Foster Care Status

- CSAT data set 8.3% in treatment currently in foster care
- NSDUH (2005) 0.6% of youth 12 17 ever in foster care

3

Odds ratio of 15:1 (but an underestimate)





1













Co-occurring Disorders <u>Mental Health</u> Emotional Problems Scale Days of Victimization Days of Traumatic Memories

Change (post-pre) Effect Size for Emotional Problems by Type of Treatment







Range of Effect Sizes (d) for Change in Days of Abstinence (intake to 12 months) by Site

% Change : . post-ini	% Change : Abstinence at 6-months post-initial assessment				
* <i>MET/</i> <u>CBT 5</u>	*ACRA/ <u>ACC</u>	**TARGET <u>YOUTH</u>	**SEE <u>YOUTH</u>		
60.6	69.3	12.6	21.1		
* GAIN Mandated ** GAIN Optional Source: SAIS System ((GPRA)				

<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Most programs have small effects but those effects are not negligible

- The median effect size (.09) represents a reduction of the recidivism rate from .50 to .46
- Above that median, most of the programs reduce recidivism by 10% or more
- One-fourth of the studies show recidivism reductions of 30% or more, that is, a recidivism rate of .35 or less for the treatment group compared to .50 for the control group
- The "nothing works" claim that rehabilitative programs for juvenile offenders are ineffective is false

20

Implementation is Essential (Reduction in Recidivism from .50 Control Group Rate) Program Implementation: The best is to Amount of Service, Quality of Delivery have a strong program Program Type implemented Grouped by Rank Medium High Low well Group 1 (best) 24% 34% 46% 16% 30% 40% Group 2 Group 3 6% 20% 32% 0% 24% Group 4 (poorest) 12% Thus one should optimally pick the The effect of a well implemented weak program is strongest intervention that one can as big as a strong program implement well implemented poorly ource: Adapted from Lipsey,

Program types with average or better effects on recidivism

AVERAGE OR BETTER	BETTER/BEST Preadjudication	
Parent training Tutoring	Employment/job training Group counseling Probation	
Drug/alcohol therapy Family counseling Mentoring	Cognitive-behavioral therapy Interpersonal skills training Parent training Tutoring	
	Institutionalized	
Family counseling Cognitive-behavioral therapy Employment/job training	Behavior management Group counseling Individual counseling Interpersonal skills training	
Source: Adapted from Lipsey, 1997, 2005		23

Some Programs Have Negative or No Effects on recidivism

- "Scared Straight" and similar shock incarceration program
- Boot camps mixed had bad to no effect
- Routine practice had no or little (d=.07 or 6% reduction in recidivism)
- Similar effects for minority and white (not enough data to comment on males vs. females)
- The common belief that treating anti-social juveniles in groups would lead to more "iatrogenic" effects appears to be <u>false</u> on average (i.e., relapse, violence, recidivism for groups is no worse then individual or family therapy)

ource: Adapted from Lipsey, 1997, 2005

Issues to Consider

- Juvenile Justice involved youth increasing presence in the treatment system
- Support for funding relies on ability to demonstrate effectiveness
- Treatment needs of the youth that we see and the need to incorporate appropriate and effective interventions for these needs
- Continuing Care is as, or more important than the treatment delivered
- Ongoing Support Services Promising as a Key Component

Evidenced Based Practice - Summary

- Adolescents entering more intensive levels of care typically have higher severity.
- Multiple problems and child maltreatment are the norm and are closely related to each other.
- There are a growing number of standardized assessment tools, treatment protocols and other resources available to support evidenced based practices.

Evidenced Based Practice - Summary

- Achieving reliable outcomes requires reliable measurement, protocol delivery and on-going performance monitoring.
- The GAIN is one measure that is being widely used to address gaps in current knowledge and move the field towards evidenced based practice.
- Standardized and more specific assessment helps to draw out treatment planning implications of readiness for change, recovery environment, relapse potential, psychopathology, crime/violence, and HIV risks.

Contact Information

Randolph Muck, M.Ed.

Advocates for Youth and Family Behavioral Therapy

240-397-3918

randy@ayftc.com